

Population **59 257 566**



GDP per capita 27 815 €

CoE Median 20301€



Efficiency

Avg gross annual salary 31 233 €

CoE Median 20612€

Implemented judicial system budget

Judicial system		Courts	Prosecution services	Legal aid
0.30% of GDP	82.2 per inh.	53.4 per inh.	22.9 per inh.	5.88 per inh.
CoE Median: 0,30%	CoE Median: 64,50	CoE Median: 43,53	CoE Median: 13,86	CoE Median: 3,08
0,30%0.30%	72,7 72,7 ^{63,1} ^{64,5} ^{64,5} ^{64,5}	46,8 53,2 53,4 40,8 43,5 29,0 3346,3	23,5 24,7 9,8 1025,6 12,1 13,32,9	2 ₂ 337 <u>3</u> 285 <u>5</u> 2 ²⁷ 3.5.88
2020	2014 2016 2018 2020	2014 2016 2018 2020	2014 2016 2018 2020	2014 2016 2018 2020

Budget: In 2020, Italy spent 4 868 256 466 € on the implemented judicial system budget, which is 82,15 € per inhabitant (more than the CoE Median) and 0,30% of the GDP. In 2020, 65% was spent on all courts, 28% on prosecution services, 7% on legal aid. From 2018 to 2020, Italy increased the implemented budget allocated to IT, justice expenses and training organised by courts.

Number of professionals

Italy is characterised by a high number of lawyers: it is the country with the highest number of lawyers in absolute value (235 964, that is 18% of the total number of lawyers in the CoE states and entities) and the number of lawyers per 100 000 inhabitants is almost three times the CoE Median. However, the number of judges per 100 000 inhabitants (12) is lower than the CoE median, as well as the number of court staff (36) and the number of prosecutors (4). "Judges of peace" (3 547 judges), are not counted as professional judges.

Promotion and salaries of judges


In Italy, the concept of "promotion" of judges is mostly related to the years of service and the level of salary is not related to the hierarchical position but to the seniority. The ratio between the salary of judges and average national salary is lower than the CoE median at the beginning of career and higher at the end.

Alternative Dispute Resolutions

Italy is one of the few Member States that provide mandatory mediation both before/instead going to court and ordered by the court, the judge, the public prosecutor or a public authority in the course of a judicial proceeding. In 2020, the parties agreed to start mediation in 60 110 cases, but a settlement agreement was reached in 15 013 cases only.



Efficiency: The major issue related to the judicial efficiency in Italy remains the excessive length of proceedings, especially as regards civil and commercial litigious cases, even if, the overall length of proceedings constantly decreased from 2012 to 2018. In 2020, due to the pandemic situation and the temporary closure of courts, the efficiency of courts mostly weakened compared to the previous years. In particular, the increases in Disposition Times were considerable for civil/commercial and criminal cases in the three instances. The Italian judicial system is affected by the high number of pending cases, in particular civil and commercial in the first instance. For this reason, the lower number of resolved cases had a significant impact on the calculation of Disposition Time. This indicator is expected to improve once the pandemic situation has stabilised.






Dispostion Time (DT) = (Pending cases / Resolved cases) *365

The Disposition Time (DT) is the theoretical time for a pending case to be resolved, taken into consideration the current pace of work



Public prosecution services





Discontinued during the reference year Concluded by a penalty or a measure imposed or negotiated by the public prosecutor Cases closed by the public prosecutor for other reasons Cases brought to court



Distribution of discontinued cases per 100 inhabitants

Discontinued because the offender could not be identified Discontinued due to the lack of an established offence or a specific legal situation Discontinued by the public prosecutor for reasons of opportunity Discontinued for other reasons

1st Instance

Note: There are different methodologies on calculating number of cases in prosecution statistics by event or by perpetrator. CEPEJ collects data per case (event) but some countries present it by perpetrator.

Judiciary related websites

1. Legal texts

www.normattiva.it/

2. Case-law of the higher court/s

http://www.italgiure.giustizia.it/sncass: https://www.portaledelmassimario.ipzs.it/

3. Information about the judicial system

https://www.giustizia.it/giustizia/it/mg 4.page